

SUTTON POYNTZ NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN STEERING GROUP

RECORD OF SUB-GROUP MEETING

Topic sub-group	<u>Heritage</u>
Dates of Meeting	<u>3/10/2018</u>
Time of meeting from	<u>5 p.m to 4:45 p.m.</u>
Location of Meeting	<u>Bellamy Cottage</u>
Present:	<u>Bill Egerton, Caroline Crisp, Jill Kelsey</u>

Key Discussion Points

Copies of the Heritage Report commissioned from Kim Sankey of Angel Architecture Ltd. had been delivered to all the provisionally listed households. As a result, a number of representations had been received from owners. Kim Sankey had provided a letter responding to all these representations, which was being delivered to the households. This meeting had been called to discuss the conclusions in Kim's letter.

It was firstly agreed that since the first draft of Kim's letter, much more thorough responses had been provided. One error was noted: in the paragraph responding to the representation from Chipps Cottage, the first sentence started "Chipps Cottage was considered and not included ...". This should have read "Streamside Cottage (115 Sutton Road) ...". Kim Sankey had already been notified.

The Subgroup reviewed the conclusions in the letter:

- White Horse Cottage and Fox Cottage – The Subgroup agreed the recommendation that these properties be removed from the draft list.
- Springhead Pub – While expressing sympathy with the publicans, the Subgroup agreed to recommend that the pub and Pavilion were of sufficient heritage importance to be retained in the list. The Planning Authority would already need perform a delicate balancing act between the need for a thriving business and the need to protect the heritage value; this was a good reason to make sure the heritage significance was understood.
- Staddles – The letter had reinforced the significance of this and the other Wamsley Lewis houses. The Subgroup was quite sure it was right to include all these houses.
- Silver Street Cottages (Rose Cottage, Ebenezer Cottage, Albert Cottage) – The letter gave a good explanation of process, but did not give a firm recommendation on whether these houses should be included in the final list. The Subgroup did agree with the recommendation in the letter that the three houses should either all be included or all excluded. After discussion, the Subgroup concluded that the real significance of this part of the village lies less in the

construction of houses (individual or in groups), and more in the almost unique layout of the “street” beside the stream with rough paving and houses tight against the path. It is this layout that ought to be preserved. The Subgroup therefore felt that this section of the Report did not capture what is really important; the Subgroup will recommend to the Steering Group that this section be removed and if possible replaced with new text that focuses on the street itself.

The Subgroup concluded that armed with this further evidence from Kim Sankey, it is happy to recommend to the Steering Group a Policy seeking a Local Heritage List, with a revised report from Kim Sankey as evidence.